(*g*) No.

(*h*) The question does not arise in view of the answer to (*g*).

MR. PUTTAVEERABHADRAPPA (Madhugiri Taluk).—

Q.—44. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Is it under the consideration of Government to supply water to the tanks of Tingalur and other villages in Madhugiri Taluk by the construction of an anicut near Hampasandra across the Kumudvati river ?

(*b*) In what year do they propose to undertake this work ?

(*c*) Has an estimate of cost of this work been got prepared ?

(*d*) Have the villagers of Tingalur and other villages agreed to pay contribution and executed *mutchalika*?

(*e*) Is it not desirable to see that such works are executed early in the interests of the raiyats ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—44. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) When funds permit.

(*c*) Yes; for Rs. 13,800.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes.

MR. H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA (Chiknayakanhalli Taluk).—

Q.—45. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether any remission of kandayam has been granted to the atchkatdars under the Borankanive tank for insufficient supply of water during the years 1925-26 and 1928-29 and the previous years ?

(*b*) What is the amount of remission granted ?

(*c*) Whether it has not come to the notice of the Government that the raiyats under the above tank are being impoverished every year owing to the failure of crops ?

(*d*) Whether the Government had not agreed to improve the source of supply of water to this tank by constructing a dam across Singadahalli stream and diverting the water ?

(*e*) Whether a recommendation was not made for sanctioning this project after recovering the full amount of contribution at Rs. 15 per acre ?

(*f*) Whether it is not possible to undertake the survey of this project, when there is scope for increasing the present *atchkat* of 1,000 and odd acres by another 500 acres ?

(*g*) Whether it is not necessary and desirable that the dam is constructed and water diverted to the tank by means of a channel, so that there will be adequate supply of water for irrigation ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—45. (*a*) Remission of half wet assessment has been granted during the years 1925-26 and 1929-30.

(*b*) 1925-26, Rs. 2,131-13-0.
1929-30, Rs. 1,906-9-6.

(*c*) Government are not aware of any impoverishment of raiyats.

(*d*) An investigation of such a scheme was promised.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) Surveys are made and a project for Rs. 2,45,400 has been prepared.

(*g*) The project is considered unremunerative and has been ordered to lie over for the present.

MESSRS. G. SHANKARA SETTY and NAGARAJAIYA (Pavagada Taluk).—

Q.—46. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) If it has come to the notice of the Government that the Kodamadagu tank of the Pavagada Taluk does not receive sufficient supply of water ?

(*b*) If so, how many years is it since the tank discharged ?

(*c*) Have the raiyats of the said village petitioned the Government for the diversion of the Kadenki halla for supply of water to the above tank ?

(*d*) Was any investigation made on their petition ?

(*e*) Is it not necessary to create facilities for the supply of water to this tank ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—46. (*a*) Yes.